

# **Review of Sri Lanka's licensing framework—industry workshop**

## **Telecommunications licensing in a converged digital environment**

**10<sup>th</sup> March 2016  
Colombo, Sri Lanka**

**Matthew O'Rourke  
Director, Incyte Consulting (ITU Expert)**

# Contents

1. Project overview
2. Overview of international trends in telecoms licensing
3. Examples of licensing regimes from other countries
4. Licence migration issues

# *1. Project overview*

# Terms of reference

- Review existing telecoms licensing framework
  - Identify potential barriers to convergence
- Recommend an alternative licensing model
  - Including licence conditions, licensing processes and fee structure
  - Identify any necessary amendments to law
  - Draft any necessary licensing regulations
- Advise on planning for the implementation and transition process

## Scope

Review and recommendations to be limited to telecommunications operating licensing only

- i.e. section 17 licences
- excludes spectrum licensing and other forms of licensing

# Dimensions of licensing

- Categories of licence
- Means of grant
  - Individual / class
- Licensing authority
  - Minister / regulator
- Eligibility
- Application process
- Exemptions
- Period (duration)
- Licence conditions
  - General / specific
  - Where specified
- Fees
  - Initial & annual
  - Purpose and method
- Renewal
- Cancellation, suspension, revocation & surrender

## Some initial observations

- The existing legislation and approach to licensing is over 25 years old
- The legislation and current necessary reliance on the use of licence conditions limits the potential for licensing reform without legislative reform
- There is general support for licensing reform but it is not the most pressing regulatory issue facing licensees
  - Some concern about potential for additional entry
- The more pressing (desired) licensing reforms relate to licensing administrative arrangements, rather than licensing structure
  - e.g. renewal process
  - Also spectrum licensing and availability

## ***2. Overview of telecoms licensing trends***



# Telecoms licensing in the Bronze Age of Regulation

The licensing of telecoms operators originally was used to serve many purposes:

- The allocation of scarce resources
- Expansion of networks and services
- Privatisation and commercialisation
- Regulatory certainty
- Establishing a competitive framework
- Consumer protection
- Regulating market structure
- Generating government revenue

# Telecoms licensing in the Iron Age of Regulation

- The principal purposes of licensing changed as the national body of regulation developed over time
- Licences tended to dwell on divergence and superseded contrasts such as:
  - Basic services vs value added service
  - Voice vs data
  - Fixed vs mobile
  - Narrowband vs broadband
  - Specific medium vs multimedia
  - Analogue vs digital

# Convergence prompts reform... in some countries

- Various attempts were made to re-categorise services and operators in the late 1980s and early 1990s
- For example:
  - Type 1 and Type II in Japan and Canada
  - The general operator licences in UK and Australia
  - The functional structure in Malaysia

# Convergence prompts reform more widely

- Global trends prompted licensing reform internationally
- As the notion of a specific service requiring its own separate network disappeared, so to did the foundation for the traditional approach to licensing
- Recognition that barriers to convergence retard the development of new services and innovation

# International trends in approaches to telecoms licensing

## Service-specific

- One specific licence per service

## Consolidated licences

- Broad categories of services
- Often distinguishes between networks and services
- Multi-service authorisation or global authorisation

## Unified licences

- Combining multiple categories of licences and authorisations

## Common objectives behind licensing reforms

- Simplify licensing procedures and reduce complexity
- Make market entry easier
- Reduce legal disputes on scope of licence
- Ensure flexibility and efficient utilisation of resources
- Create a level playing field
- Reduce the cost and complexity of administration

# International trends in licensing processes

## Individual licence

- Approved case by case
- Specific rights and obligations

## Class licence

- General system of rights and obligations
- Straight forward award process

## Registration

- A formality

## Notification

- File notification then commence operations
- No need to wait for approval

## Open entry

- Not regulated
- Come and go as you please

# Best practice telecoms licensing regimes today

- Transparency of all licensing processes
- Licence periods that are either on-going or match investment recovery cycles
- Embracing convergence
- Being generally functional rather than service oriented
  - i.e. network / service / content structure
- Leaving service and technology choices to licensees
- Minimising special conditions and making maximum use of general conditions in legislation or regulations





### ***3. Examples of current licensing regimes from some other countries***

# Tanzania's licence model

| Licence                     | Market segment   | Broadcast segment                        | Type       |
|-----------------------------|--|--|------------|
| Network facility licence    | International<br>National<br>Regional<br>District              | n/a                                      | Individual |
| Network service licence     | International<br>National<br>Regional<br>District              | n/a                                      | Individual |
|                             | Closed user group  |  | Class      |
| Application service licence | International<br>National<br>Regional<br>District<br>Community | n/a                                      | Class      |
| Content Service licence     | National<br>Regional<br>District                               | Subscription TV<br>Free TV<br>Free radio | Individual |
|                             | Community  | Community TV<br>Community radio          | Class      |

# Tanzania individual versus class licence

## Individual Licences

- Consider to have “big economical and social impact”
- Therefore include specific conditions on:
  - quality of service
  - licensed area
  - interconnection
  - consumer protection
  - universal service obligation
  - shareholding structure
  - roll out plan
  - directory assistance

## Class licence

- Issued with standard terms and conditions as considered to have “less social and economic impact”

## *Exempt licence*

- Examples include broadcasting and production studios, private network facilities, internet content application services, web hosting
- Must register with TCRA

# PNG's Licensing Model

## Network Licence

- Facilities rights: The right to construct, maintain or operate facilities
- Network services: A service for carrying communications
- Facilities access services: The use of, or access to, a facility to supply a network service

## Applications Licence

- A service for facilitating communications by means of guided and/or unguided electromagnetic energy provided via one or more network services

## Content Licence

- Broadcasting service
- Narrowcasting service
- Definition may be expanded via regulation

# Jordan's licensing model

## Individual licence

- For providers of public telecommunications services and underlying networks that use **scarce resources**
- Scarce resources are defined as radio spectrum, public rights of way and telephone numbers.
- Does not confer an automatic right to the use of scarce resources
- Exemptions given to VSAT services, unlicensed spectrum (e.g. Wifi) and use of dialling codes, ISPC

## Class Licence

- For providers of public telecommunications services that **do not use scarce resources**, or those whose use of scarce resources is determined by TRC not to be material

# Jordan's licensing reform enabled it to focus on more important issues

"This [new licensing regime] permitted TRC to adopt a less interventionist and confrontational role in the regulation of the market by placing less emphasis on the enforcement of license terms and more on the assurance of true competition."

-

Jordan's TRC

# Singapore's licensing model

## Facilities based operator licence

- Authorisation to run any telecom system for the purpose of offering:
  - Telecom switching capacity or services;
  - Telecom transmission capacity or services
- Individually licensed

## Service-based operator licence

- Authorisation to lease network elements from an FBO
- E.g. transmission capacity, switching services, ducts and fibre
- Individually or class licensed

# Australia's licensing model

- Owners/operators of certain types of network facilities require a “carrier licence”
  - Relevant facilities are single or multiple line links over 500m and specified radcom facilities
  - Only relevant if used to supply services to the public
- Everyone else is considered to be a “carriage service provider”
  - CSPs operate on permission automatically granted to them in the legislation
  - They do not require a licence



# European Union countries

- A “General Authorisation”
- A general authorisation gives an “undertaking” (an operator) the right to:
  - provide electronic communications networks
  - provide electric communications services
- And, if supplying to the public
  - negotiate interconnection with other undertakings in the EU
- Also, the opportunity to be designated to provide certain universal service functions

# Possible conditions of a General Authorisation in the EU

The conditions that may be attached to a General Authorisation are limited

- Financial contributions to funding of the universal service
- Interoperability of services / interconnection of networks
- Accessibility and portability of numbers
- Protection of privacy and minors
- Obligation to transmit certain TV or radio programmes
- Environmental and town planning requirements
- Administrative charges
- Restrictions concerning the broadcast of illegal content
- Use of the radio spectrum

## ***4. Licence migration issues***

# Licence migration challenges

- Migrating from traditional to convergent licensing regimes is difficult and complex.
- Contributory factors can include:
  - Privileges built into current licences
  - Exclusive provisions in current licences
  - Poor incentives
  - New general obligations in legislation or regulations
    - E.g. new and stricter eligibility criteria

## Migration issues (1)

- How quick will the transition be?
- Is compensation necessary?
- Who will be the licensing authority?
- How will the existing licences fit into the new licensing structure?
- Are each licensee's migration rights clear and understood?

## Migration issues (2)

- Are migration incentives needed?
- Which licence conditions need to be migrated? And how?
- What new regulations are required?
- Do the licence fee arrangements need to be changed also?
- What effect will migration have on existing spectrum usage rights?

# *5. Conclusions*

- Elements of modern best practice in telecoms licensing:
  - Embrace convergence and
  - Generally substitute a functional approach for pre-convergence regulatory distinctions
  - Transparency of all licensing processes
  - Licence periods that are either on-going or match investment recovery cycles
  - Leave service and technology choices to licensees
  - Minimise special conditions and making maximum use of general conditions in legislation or regulations
- Continuing with outmoded licensing regimes can impose substantial costs on the sector and the economy – and ultimately consumers
- Migration can be a complex and protracted process



# Thank you

**Matthew O'Rourke**  
Director, Incyte Consulting

**[mor@incyteconsulting.com](mailto:mor@incyteconsulting.com)**

**[www.incyteconsulting.com](http://www.incyteconsulting.com)**